IN THE UNITED ST	ATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTR	ICT OF DELAWARE
KENNETH COLE and BRIGITTE)
L. BROWN,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
) Civil Action No.
v .) 05-270 (KAJ)
-)
DELAWARE TECHNICAL AND) CONTAINS
COMMUNITY COLLEGE,) CONFIDENTIAL
) INFORMATION
Defendant.)

Deposition of DR. ANN DEL NEGRO taken pursuant to notice at the law offices of Margolis Edelstein, 1509 Gilpin Avenue, Wilmington, Delaware, beginning at 10:10 a.m. on Monday January 30, 2006, before Kathleen White Palmer, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public.

APPEARANCES:

LORI A. BREWINGTON, ESQUIRE
MARGOLIS EDELSTEIN
1509 Gilpin Avenue
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
for the Plaintiffs

JAMES H. McMACKIN, III, ESQUIRE
MORRIS, JAMES, HITCHENS & WILLIAMS
222 Delaware Avenue - Tenth Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19899
for the Defendant

ALSO PRESENT: KATHERINE E. GEPPERT, Paralegal Margolis Edelstein

WILCOX & FETZER
1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 655-0477







ALSO PRESENT:

2

1

KENNETH COLE BRIGITTE L. BROWN (Via telephone)

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DR. ANN DEL NEGRO,

the witness herein, having first been duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows:

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

Good morning. My name is Lori Brewington and I Q. have the privilege of taking your deposition this morning in connection with discrimination charges filed against DelTech by Brigitte Brown and Ken Cole.

Have you ever testified in a deposition before?

- A. No.
- I will ask you a series of questions. My intent is to ask them one at a time. If you could respond to the questions with a yes or a no, make sure that your answers are clear. No un-huhs and no mm-hmms. They are not very clear. We have a court reporter here that will be taking down everything that we are saying today.

If at any time you don't understand the question, just let me know and I will try to explain it for you. If you do answer the question, then I'll assume that we both understood the question and answer.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. If at any time you would like to take a break or you need to use the phone, just let me know. The only thing I ask is that you do not discuss your deposition testimony with defense counsel here.
 - A. Okay.

MR. McMACKIN: I just want to state for the record that during breaks we can talk about the subject matter of the deposition, but to the extent that we do and we are asked about it by Ms. Brewington, we would be waiving the privilege at that time. But we don't intend to do so.

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. Please begin by stating your name and professional title.
 - A. Ann Del Negro, doctor of education.
 - Q. Did you say director of education or doctor?
 - A. Doctor.
- Q. Doctor of education?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How long have you been doctor of education?
 - A. Officially? Yesterday.



	To Hog To
1	Q. Oh, congratulations.
2	A. Thank you.
3	Q. What was your title before you became doctor of
4	education?
5	A. Well, maybe maybe I'm answering without my
6	official title is I'm the director of corporate and
7	community programs.
8	Q. Okay.
9	A. I thought you were asking my level of education.
10	Q. I'll ask you about that, too, but I was just
. 11	A. Director of corporate and community programs for
12	Delaware Technical and Community College at the Owens
13	Campus.
14	Q. How long have you been
15	A. Since August of 2003.
16	Q. What was your title prior to director of
17	corporate and community programs at the Owens Campus?
18	A. Prior to that, it was assistant director of
19	corporate and community programs for the Stanton
20	Wilmington Campus.
21	Q. What is your educational background?
22	A. Doctor of education.
23	MR. McMACKIN: I think she wants: Where

did you go to college?

1	THE WITNESS: Oh.
2	MR. McMACKIN: Et cetera.
3	A. From undergraduate on?
4	BY MS. BREWINGTON:
5	Q. Undergraduate on, please.
6	A. Associate degree, Delaware Technical and
7	Community College. Bachelor degree, Goldey Beacom
8	College. One master degree in public administration from
9	Wilmington College. One master degree in Wilmington
10	College in HR management. And a doctor of education from
11	Wilmington College.
12	Q. When did you begin working at DelTech?
13	A. February of 2000. It may have been March 1st.
14	March 1st was my official start date.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. I was hired in February.
17	Q. You were hired as an assistant director?
18	A. No.
19	Q. What were you hired as?
20	A. I was hired as the department chair for youth
21	programs it was called at that time.
22	Q. Then what did you become after that?
23	A. Assistant director for corporate and community
24	programs.



1	Q. As assistant director of corporate and community
2	programs, who did you report to?
3	A. I reported directly to Susan Zawislak,
4	Dr. Zawislak.
5	Q. Who reported directly to you?
6	A. The names of all the individuals?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. At the time? You have to bear with me because
9	this is several years ago.
10	Q. Sure.
11	A. For the Upward Bound Classic program it was
12	Eugene Barns. For Upward Bound Math and Science program,
13	REDACTED . At the time I came on board, the other
14	youth program was Educational Talent Search and there was
15	no program manager at that point, so I had to assume
16	those responsibilities as the position was vacant.
17	In terms of timing, I can't speak directly,
18	but I inherited I started to, you know, assume more
19	responsibility of youth programs as different shifts took
20	place. Charles Madden was the program manager for
21	program manager for the To The Max program initially, but
22	he soon left and Peter Lonie became program manager.
23	Also, there was Dionna Harris, who was the
24	program manager at the time for camps. I believe that's

1	all.	But without having an organizational chart before
2	me, I	can't recall any others at this point.

- Q. How about Paul Morris, did he report to you?
- A. At the time I was hired, Paul Morris was a student enrichment coordinator for Educational Talent Search.

So again, in the absence of a program manager, both he -- Urahn Roberts was the other student enrichment coordinator, and Bridget Staab was the secretary.

- Q. At any time when you were assistant director of corporate and community programs, did he -- "he" meaning Paul Morris -- become program manager of Talent Search?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall when that was?
- A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What did you do in preparation for your deposition testimony this morning?
- A. Looked over some documents that were provided to, I believe, you. Looked over records that were provided, I believe, by -- yeah, by you. It would have had to come from you.
 - Q. That were provided by me.

Did you review the complaint?



A.	Would	that	be	the	interrogatory?
		01146	200	CILC	THICELLOUGLULV!

Q. No, but you may have reviewed the interrogatories, but -- did you review that document?

MR. McMACKIN: Let the record reflect that Ms. Brewington just showed to the witness Kenneth Cole's complaint.

- A. I do not believe that I have seen this. I specifically remember the interrogatory, but I don't specifically remember this.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. Especially when I see jurisdiction and factual background. I don't recall.
 - Q. Did you talk with anyone in preparation for your deposition testimony today?
 - A. I talked with, yes, Dr. Zawislak.

And if I could clarify, if you mean in preparation for today, in preparation for the whole -- after we received the interrogatory is when we first talked. And, of course, the attorney when we went to the meeting at Delaware Tech.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ McMACKIN: I'm going to instruct the witness not to comment on the subject or the topics or what was said.

MS. BREWINGTON: I understand.



1	THE	WITNESS:	Okay.
			O c. y .

BY MR. BREWINGTON:

- Q. When received the interrogatories, was that the last time you spoke with Dr. Zawislak concerning this matter?
- 6 A. No.

2

3

4

5

7

14

15

18

19

20

21

- Q. Did you speak with her after that?
- A. To go over certain documents, as far as memory recollection.
- Q. When is the most recent time you spoke with Dr. Zawislak?
- 12 A. Friday evening, whatever Friday, this past
 13 Friday's date was.
 - Q. Did you talk with Dr. Zawislak concerning her deposition testimony?
- A. Concerning, again, memory, if I recalled certain events.
 - Q. Have you spoken with Paul Morris?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When did you talk with Paul Morris?
 - A. I spoke with Paul Morris this morning.
- Q. Did you talk with Paul Morris concerning his deposition testimony?
 - A. No.



1	Q. What did you speak with Paul Morris about?
2	A. I asked him a question about educational
3	attainment.
4	Q. Educational
5	A. Attainment.
6	Q. Attainment.
7	Did you speak with Paul Morris about
. 8	Brigitte Brown or Ken Cole in this matter?
9	A. Ken Cole.
10	Q. What did you discuss?
11	A. I asked Paul if he was aware whether Ken had an
12	associate's or a bachelor's, because on all of the PDFs
13	that were in the documents that were supplied by you, it
14	says his educational attainment was associate.
15	Q. Did you discuss anything else?
16	A. No.
17	Q. That was this morning?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Did Paul Morris give you any information?
20	A. His answer was it probably was moot because Ken
21	was a part-time employee and reference checks are not
22	typically done for part-time employment, but they are for
23	full-time employment.

24

Q.

Why did you ask him about Ken Cole's status?

Page 14 of 92

Α.	Because in	reviewing	the documer	nts that you
supplied	, there was	reference	that he was	s denied
opportun:	ity to appl	y for a pos	sition that	I knew required
a bachelo	or degree.	So it was	for my own	information.

- Q. What position were you concerned with or were speaking about?
- A. None specifically, but I believe there's reference somewhere in there that he was denied opportunity to apply for other vacancies. Which ones they are, I don't know.
- Q. Have you told me everything that you and Paul Morris discussed?
- A. The only other thing I asked Paul is whether he could tell me whether or not in 2003 the Upward Bound Math and Science budget was overspent.
- Q. I'd like to ask you about the 2001 proposed move. I know it was awhile ago.
 - A. Yes, it was.

- Q. My first question is: Was there, in fact, a proposal to move the Upward Bound Math and Science program in 2001?
- A. In 2001 there was a proposal to move multiple people.
 - Q. Well, tell me about who was proposed to move.



	1
1	A. That I can't recall exactly. There were
2	multiple people involved.
3	Q. Do you recall whether Upward Bound Classic was
4	going to move into the office space occupied by Upward
5	Bound Math and Science?
6	A. I'm not sure exactly who was proposed to move
7	into which location.
8	Q. Do you recall anything about that proposed move?
9	A. Specifics? No. As I said, it was 2001.
10	Q. Do you recall anything generally about that
11	proposal?
12	A. That I believe additional hires came on board, a
13	new student enrichment coordinator, and a secretary
14	somewhere around that time. Again, I don't have
15	specifics.
16	Q. Whose idea was this move in 2001?
17	A. As far as whose direct idea, I can't recall. I
18	can only tell you from an operational perspective, we
19	were always asked to keep in mind spacing because spacing
20	is an issue on the campus.
21	Q. Was the reason for the move because of spacing?
22	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Foundation.
23	Proposed.



I'm sorry. Let me

MS. BREWINGTON:

1 | clarify.

.23

2 BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. Was the reason for the proposed move in 2001 for spacing?
- A. Spacing in terms of the overall, overarching goal was to try to group the programs together.
- Personnel from the same program and area of personnel from the same program.
 - Q. Did you meet with Ken Cole and Brigitte Brown and other members of the Upward Bound Math and Science concerning this proposed move?
 - A. I don't have any specific recollection of that, nor do I have any notes in reference to that. So, no, I don't recall.
 - Q. Do you recall whether the proposed move in February 2001 ever took place?
 - A. I believe some moves took place, but I don't recall which moves took place. You know, on a scale of everything that we do in running the division, I don't recall.
 - Q. Do you recall whether Brigitte Brown and Ken Cole moved their offices during that proposed move?
 - A. I don't believe so, because the final move that took place in 2002, I believe they were moved from the



1	location that they were occupying in 2001. I don't
2	recall, but from the best of my recollection, when they
3	moved in 2002, it was from where they were located at the
4	time I was hired.
5	Q. I understand.
6	You mentioned that some people changed
7	offices at that time in 2001; is that correct?
8	A. I believe so.
9	Q. Was Tonia Conley relocated to be next to Kate
10	Sullivan at that time?
11	A. I believe she was.
12	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Are you talking
13	about I'm not following because you are talking
14	about
15	MS. BREWINGTON: I'm only talking about the
16	proposed move.
17	MR. McMACKIN: So was she proposed to move
18	next to Kate Sullivan? Is that your question?
19	MS. BREWINGTON: No. My question was: Did
20	she, in fact, move as a result of that?
21	BY MS. BREWINGTON:
22	Q. You mentioned that people had moved, but you
23	didn't believe that Upward Bound Math and Science had
24	moved or Ken Cole and Brigitte Brown.



Ĺ	Α.	I don'	t	recall
2	Q.	Okay.		

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Perhaps that's the better answer rather than I believe so. I don't recall. If you could show me, you know, the end results of the moves, but --
- Q. But you do recall Tonia Conley being relocated next to Kate Sullivan; is that correct?
- A. I said I believe, but perhaps the better answer was I don't recall. Again, if you could show me a chart, it would help.
- Q. Tonia Conley was student enrichment coordinator for Upward Bound Classic?

MR. McMACKIN: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: Does that mean I respond?

MR. McMACKIN: Yes.

16 A. Yes.

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. Kate Sullivan was the program manager for Upward Bound Classic?
 - A. Is that the same lines as he just objected to?
 - Q. Is that correct?
 - A. Is that correct? Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Were you aware of any issues or problems between Kate Sullivan and Tonia Conley?



I	<i>1</i> .	There	were	problems	. 	could	you	clarify	what
that	mean	ıs?							

- Q. Problems meaning any issues, disagreements, work performance issues, personnel issues.
 - A. Am I at liberty to talk about --

MR. McMACKIN: Let me jump in here for a minute. I'm sorry. I'm going to instruct you to answer the question, but before you do so, may I put on the record that there is a confidentiality stipulation in place between each of the plaintiffs and Delaware Technical and Community College entered into on or about January 16, 2006.

Pursuant to that confidentiality stipulation, the college requests that some of the testimony and/or questions recorded at this deposition be placed under seal. We are going to respectfully ask the court reporter to mark periodically throughout the deposition certain testimonies being under seal. If the court reporter could just make a notation on the record each time such request is made, this way we'll have a place holder and we'll know when we need to redact and place things under seal.

The college reserves its right hereafter to review the transcript and mark anything under seal that



is encompassed by the confidentiality stipulation.

You can answer the question to the extent you know the answer.

MR. McMACKIN: Can we go off the record for just a second?

(Discussion off the record.)

[CONFIDENTIAL ON]

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. I was asking you about whether you were aware of any issues, problems, disagreements, grievances, formal and informal, performance issues between Kate Sullivan and Tonia Conley.
- A. Okay. I wouldn't classify them as performance issues. I would say that there were challenges between the program manager and the student enrichment coordinator insofar as work standards. I believe they had different philosophies and there was a lot of coaching that took place with both employees in those areas to try to promote collaborative work environment. And it was challenging because their standards were quite different.
- Q. Tell me about Tonia Conley's standards versus Kate Sullivan's standards.
 - A. Okay. I would say Kate Sullivan was very



accountability. She believed in high standards, high accountability. Expected, had high expectations, expected a lot of herself and expected a lot of her staff. And would get concerned when work plans were not being followed as discussed and agreed upon.

Q. What about Tonya Conley's philosophy?

A. Tonia took a more indirect approach. She operated a lot more flexible that in terms of when the job got done, there were practices that were -- that she followed that were not always acceptable as far, again, going back to high work standards.

I know a couple of instances that kept resurfacing are that she would have certain school dates on her calendar and the program manager would receive calls from the school saying that Tonia never showed up.

And when we went back to try to figure out what went wrong, why was there a disconnect between what we had planned to do and what we actually did, Tonia would -- Tonia's response would be, well, I had preplanned the calendars and I had thought at the time I prepared the calendars that I would be at that school.

And that to give you an example of the difference between the standards, when Kate would have a calendar, she would expect that calendar to be the plan



	i · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	that you were going to work off for the week, not an at
2	the time I prepared it I thought I was going to go there,
3	not necessarily that I was really going to go there.
4	So that is an example that I specifically
5	remember that there was a back and forth between, you
6	know, how do we solve this challenge between the two
7	different work styles.
8	Q. Now, you mentioned coaching. Did you do the
9	coaching?
1.0	A. Yes.
11	Q. Did you coach both?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Was Tonia Conley ever written up formally or
14	informally reprimanded?
15	MR. McMACKIN: We ask this be confidential
16	still.
17	A. By me?
18	Q. By anyone that you know of.
19	A. I don't recall.
20	MS. BREWINGTON: We can go off of
21	confidentiality now.
22	[CONFIDENTIAL OFF.]
23	BY MS. BREWINGTON:



But whose decision was it to move the Upward

24

Q.

İ	Bound	Math	and	Science	program	in	August	٥f	20022
1			~ ~	CLCIICC	program		nuqust	OI	2002:

- A. The decision was the campus director's decision.
- Only he can decide who moves and who doesn't move.
- Q. Did anyone make recommendations to the campus director concerning this move?
 - A. Yes.

2

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Who made recommendations?
- A. The recommendation would have to come from the division director.
- Q. Who at that time was...
- 11 A. Dr. Zawislak.
- 12 Q. Did anyone make any recommendation to
- 13 Dr. Zawislak, or did she make this recommendation to
- 14 Mr. Miller on her own?
- A. No. It was a collaborative process. There was discussion.
 - Q. Who was involved in the discussion?
 - A. Paul Morris, myself.
 - MR. McMACKIN: I object on foundation to that question because this question and the previous question presume that the move occurred in August 2002 and I was just looking at the complaint and it occurred in September 2002.

MS. BREWINGTON: Right. That's fine.



BY MS. BREWINGTON:

Q. But the discussions to move started in August of 2002. That's also in the complaint?

MR. McMACKIN: I'm sorry. I thought you said that the move occurred in August.

MS. BREWINGTON: No.

MR. McMACKIN: I apologize.

MS. BREWINGTON: The decision to move, at least our understanding of the decision was made around that time because that's when Upward Bound Math and Science were notified of that.

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. If I told you that I took Paul Morris' deposition on Friday and he testified that it was his recommendation to move the Upward Bound Math and Science program to room 408, would you disagree with that?
 - A. That it was his recommendation? No.

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Speculation.

- Q. You wouldn't disagree with that?
- A. Would I disagree with that? I don't recall.

 Paul was never in a position to make recommendations.
- Q. You mentioned that it was a collaborative discussion; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, regarding space.



	· ·
1	Q. Who was involved in the collaborative
2	discussion?
3	A. It would have been Paul and myself.
4	Q. Anyone else?
5	A. Well, then after looking, thinking things
6	through, talking with Dr. Zawislak.
7	Q. What was the reason for this move?
8	A. Space became available.
9	Q. Is that the only reason for this move, that
10	space became available?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. What space are we talking about when you say
13	"space became available"?
14	A. I believe the numbers room 408.
15	Q. Who was in that room previously?
16	A. It had been occupied by they changed names.
17	I believe it was at the time called S.O.A.R. It was
18	either called To the Max or S.O.A.R.
19	Q. So the reason for the move was because space
20	became available; is that correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. The reason why Upward Bound Math and Science was
23	moved to that room as opposed to any of the other trio
24	programs was because of what?



L	A.	Because	they	were	the	only	program	that	had	four
2	people.									

- How many people did Talent Search have? 0.
- At the time Talent Search was already in an area Α. where all of the personnel were together, so that move had taken place -- I don't recall exactly when, but that was another program that was scattered. They were down on the first floor of the east building and separated and they moved up to the fourth floor. I don't know the room number. But they were then all together, the support staff and the program manager.
 - Q. How many people did Talent Search have?
 - A. Four.
- So both Talent Search and Upward Bound Math and Q. Science had four members; is that correct?
 - That's correct. Correct. A.
- Upward Bound Math and Science was chose to move Q. into 408; is that correct?
- Α. That is correct. But did you understand what I said preceding that?
 - Q. I certainly did.

How many members did Upward Bound Classic

23 have?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Objection. MR. McMACKIN: Vaque.



0	Do	17011	understand	+ h o	will a thin a
Q.	טט	you	understand	tne	question?

- A. I believe you need to explain it.
- Q. How many members did Upward Bound Classic have at the time of the proposed move in August 2002?
- A. My answer is I don't recall because I know there was a shift in -- I know one student enrichment coordinator had resigned and I don't recall whether Andrea Coleman was there at the time. I don't recall.
 - Q. Can you give me a range, like an approximate?
 - A. Five, six, maybe.

- Q. Would this include the program manager and the student enrichment coordinators?
 - A. And the secretaries.
- Q. And the secretaries.
- Could you tell me what your understanding of the proposed move was in terms of who was to move where?
- A. In -- could you clarify that a little bit more?

 The reason I'm asking is I don't know if there were other moves that were a part of that plan.
- Q. I guess what I'm talking about specifically is the move that Upward Bound Math and Science program was part of.
 - A. Okay. Then could you ask me that question



again, please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. My question is: What's your understanding of the proposed move in terms of who was going to move where, if you could provide names to?
- Okay. If I could start with To The Max or S.O.A.R., I'm not sure what the name of the program was at that time. I believe one of the positions was eliminated, which left them with one less employee. So the idea was, again, to try to cluster all the personnel of each of the programs together to promote the teamwork environment.

With the downsizing of a program of four to a program of three, the intent was then to be in a position to put all Upward Bound Math and Science employees together in a location that was already set up for four.

- Q. Okay.
- Α. Which would be parallel to what Educational Talent Search had attained. We found space to put them in an area where all four could be together.
- So in terms of who was going to move, am I 0. correct that Upward Bound Math and Science was going to occupy To The Max or S.O.A.R.'s location, and To The Max or S.O.A.R. was going to occupy Upward Bound Math and

Science	area?
---------	-------

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Foundation.

To the extent you know, you can answer.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

A. I don't recall exactly where To The Max was going to be located. I do know because there was a program set up for four -- or an area set up for four, that it was -- it appeared to us to be the ideal opportunity to take what we were able to accomplish with Educational Talent Search for Upward Bound Math and Science.

12 BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. You mentioned that the area was set up for four.
 "Area" meaning room 408?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. If that's the number. I don't recall exactly.
 - Q. I'll represent to you that that's the number.
 - A. It was on the east side.
 - Q. Can you provide for me the names of the people who previously occupied the office space that upward bound staff eventually moved into?
- A. For the S.O.A.R. program, Peter Lonie was the program manager. Crystal Heath was the student



1	enrichment coordinator. I believe Carolyn Cave was the
2	other student enrichment coordinator. And I'm not quite
3	sure who was the secretary then. I believe it may have
4	been Diana Dyson, but I'm not absolutely positive.

- Q. What about Cathy Hagan, was she in that room at that time?
 - I don't recall. Α.
 - Who is Cathy Hagan? Q.
- Α. Who is she?
- Q. Yes. What's her title?
- 11 Α. I --

6.

7

8

9

10

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

- What was her title during this time? I'm sorry. 12 Q.
 - Α. During that time? .
- 14 Q. Yes.
 - Α. Administrative assistant.
- 16 Q. Was she an administrative assistant for the 17 To The Max program?
 - Α. I -- in terms of -- I don't recall because I know she had multiple responsibilities.
 - Q. So do you know whose administrative assistant she was?
 - I can't recall. I know she worked at some point with Upward Bound Math and Science. I know she had some work with me. I know she supported somehow To The Max.

1	Q. You mentioned that Cathy Hagan supported you.
2	At one point you occupy 408 as a single office; is that
3	correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. When was that?
6	A. I don't recall the dates.
7	Q. Approximately.
8	A. Probably a three-month period, four-month
. 9	period. Might have been I don't recall.
10	Q. Cathy Hagan was your secretary?
11	A. Initially.
12	Q. Did she occupy an area next to your office?
13	A. Oh, yes. In 408?
14	Q. Yes.
15	A. Yes. For, again, for how long the duration was,
16	I don't recall.
17	Q. So you occupied room 408 and she also had an
18	area in 408; is that correct?
19	A. Again, for how many for how long, I don't
20	know, because she was called to help support other
21	programs as the needs arose.
22	Q. But I want to get it correct that you occupied
23	it as did she; is that correct? She supported you as a
24	secretary?



1	Α.	Again, my answer is: Conditionally.
2	Q.	I don't think I understand.
3	Α.	Okay. Could you ask me more specifics? Like
4	did she	support me full time?
5	Q.	That's not my question, though.
6	Α.	Then I don't understand your question.
7	Q.	My question is: Did she ever support you?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Did she ever occupy that office with you?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	Thank you.
12	Α.	You're welcome.
13	Q.	You also mentioned Peter Lonie, Crystal Heath,
14	Carolyn	Cave, and Cathy Hagan were in that office.
15	Α.	I believe I said perhaps Diana Dyson.
16	Q.	I'm sorry. Yes, you did. Diana Dyson.
17		So it was Diana Dyson or Cathy Hagan?
18	Α.	Yes. And you would have to check with HR to get
19	the spec	cific dates.
20	Q.	Now, REDACTED took over, moved into Peter
21	Lonie's	area; is that correct?
22	Α.	Correct.
23	Q.	Was Carolyn Cave there during this time?



MR. McMACKIN:

Objection.

Vague.

1	Q. Do you understand?
2	A. During which? What time?
3	Q. The time we are talking about here, in or around
4	August 2002 when there was this proposed move.
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. As I understand it, your reason for moving
7	Upward Bound Math and Science was because four people
8	occupied the room previously; is that correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. I'm just going
11	to object to the form of the question.
12	MS. BREWINGTON: Okay.
13	BY MS. BREWINGTON:
14	Q. I'm going to ask that again.
15	Your reason for moving Upward Bound Math
16	and Science into that office space was because four
17	people occupied that space previously; is that correct?
18	A. Correct.
19	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Foundation.
20	MS. BREWINGTON: Okay.
21	BY MS. BREWINGTON:
22	Q. Now, Crystal Heath was a student enrichment
23	coordinator in that office; is that correct?
24	A. She was an enrichment coordinator for that
	"as an entrement coordinator for that

1	program.	
2	Q.	And Carolyn
3	Α.	I don't rec
4	was.	
5	Q.	Do you know
6	Α.	I don't rec
7.	Q.	Cathy Hagan
8	may have	been in tha
		•

- Cave, was she there full time?
- all what her classification status
- whether if she left at any time?
- all.
- , you mentioned that she was also or t office; correct?
- Α. Perhaps.
- Q. Perhaps.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Do you know whether Cathy Hagan had an office elsewhere in the building?

- Α. I don't recall.
- You mentioned that she worked with To The Max Q. and at some point she worked with other programs; correct?
- Α. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- 19 Α. Yes.
 - Q. While she was in that office space, room 408, did she work with other programs besides To the Max?
 - I believe when she was in 408, she worked with Α. Upward Bound Math and Science in helping them get their files in order.



1	Q. So did she work along with Liz Wilson?							
2	A. I don't believe Liz was there at the time.							
3	Q. Would it be fair to say that when the move							
4	actually took place, that Liz Wilson, Brigitte Brown, and							
·5	Ken Cole occupied the space that Crystal Heath occupied							
6	at that time?							
7	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Ambiguous.							
8	A. I don't understand the question.							
9	Q. Liz Wilson, Brigitte Brown, and Ken Cole moved							
10	their offices; correct? Moved their area?							
11	A. Yes.							
12	Q. Would it be fair to say that the space occupied							
13	by Crystal Heath later became Liz Wilson, Brigitte Brown,							
14	and Ken Cole's office space?							
15	A. Occupied only by Crystal Heath?							
16	Q. Yes.							
17	A. No. That office was not occupied by a single							
18	person for To the Max or S.O.A.R. or whatever it was							
19	called at the time.							
20	Q. Well, REDACTED took over Peter Lonie's							
21	area; is that correct?							
22	A. Mm-hmm.							
23								
24	Q. Cathy Hagan was not there full time; correct? A. I can't recall							
4 7	D. I Call I recall							



L	Q.	Carolyn	Cave	had	left.	Isn't	that	also	correct?
2		MR.	МсМЯ	ACKIN	√. Obje	ection.	. Vaç	gue.	

- A. These are HR questions. I don't have that kind of information.
- Q. But you are certain that four people occupied that office; correct?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

14

16

- Q. The rooms that Upward Bound Math and Science used originally, in the area that they were originally in, were they offices or cubicles?
- 11 A. Could you identify which staff you are referring 12 to?
 - Q. Upward Bound Math and Science.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Ken Cole.
 - A. Okay. Office.
- 17 | Q. Brigitte Brown?
- 18 A. Office.
- Q. Office, office.
- MR. McMACKIN: You are talking about before the move; right?
- MS. BREWINGTON: Yes. I said "originally."
- 23 BY MS. BREWINGTON:
 - Q. So Brigitte Brown and Ken Cole were originally



1	were in individual offices?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is it fair to say that their original offices
4	accounted for privacy for students and student enrichment
5	coordinators?
6	A. Are you asking for my personal opinion?
7	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. That calls for
8	speculation.
. 9	Q. I'm asking for your opinion, yes. I'm asking
10	for whether you thought that those offices accounted for
11	privacy.
12	You said that they were offices; correct?
13	A. Well, I said they were offices, that is correct.
14	Q. My question is: Did these offices occupied by
15	Brigitte Brown and Ken Cole individually account for
16	privacy for students and their student enrichment
17	coordinators?
18	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Vague.
19	A. And again, I believe the questioning is asking
20	for my personal opinion. And if
21	THE WITNESS: Am I supposed to give my
22	personal opinion?
23	MR. McMACKIN: You are not qualified as an
24	expert.



	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1

23

24

THE WITNESS: I am not.

MR. McMACKIN: But if you can answer the question, if you have knowledge, then you can answer it. If you don't know, then you don't know. And I'm not trying to put words in your mouth.

A. Then I don't know.

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. You don't know what?
- A. I don't know whether you would consider them privacy or not.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. There were no -- there was no ceiling. Well, there was a ceiling, but there were -- how can I say this? Partitions. There were sort of like partitions and then the ceiling.

So as far as privacy, in my opinion, not totally. They were not like enclosed offices like this.

- Q. So is it fair to say that they provided for some confidentiality but not --
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. There are no partitions to separate the office space of the members of Upward Bound Science that they moved into; correct?
 - A. I have been gone from that campus for over two



1	years, two and a half years, so I don't know if there's
2	partitions or not.
3	Q. Were you there when Upward Bound Math and
4	Science moved into that office space?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Were there partitions then?
7	A. No. At the choice of the program manager.
8	Q. Were you aware that Liz Wilson requested
9	partitions from Paul Morris?
10	A. No, I am not. I'm not sure why she would ask
11	that of Paul Morris and not the program manager.
12	Q. She had a meeting with Paul Morris.
13	A. Okay.
14	Q. Are you saying that the only reason why there
15	were no partitions there is because REDACTED didn't
16	request them for her group?
17	MR. McMACKIN: Objection.
18	Mischaracterizes.
19	A. I'm not
20	Q. I'm asking if you're saying that. If you're
21	not
22	A. I'm not saying that. I don't know why there's
23	not if there weren't and if there still isn't, I don't

know whether there is or not. I have no --

Q.	So	why	weren't	there	partitions	in	that?
----	----	-----	---------	-------	------------	----	-------

- A. Why weren't there?
- Q. Yes.

- A. I don't know. I don't know what the reason is.
- Q. Wouldn't partitions have helped with providing privacy for students?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ McMACKIN: Objection. Calls for speculation and argumentative.

- A. I don't know whether they would or not.
- 10 Q. You don't know whether partitions would provide 11 privacy?
 - A. I guess that's an opinion -- you know, you are asking me --
 - Q. You are allowed to give me your personal knowledge. If you think partitions would help account for privacy, you can say that. If you don't believe that, then don't say that.

 $$\operatorname{\mbox{Are}}$$ you familiar with the EDGAR regulations?

- A. That's pretty broad. There are multiple EDGAR regulations.
- Q. Okay. Well, if I ask you if you are familiar with the EDGAR regulations, your answer would be yes or no.



1	A. Okay. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. Thank you.
3	Could you tell me what they are?
4	A. The EDGAR regulations are regulations for
5	federal programs.
6	Q. Do the EDGAR regulations have any stipulations
7	or rules with respect to construction and grants?
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. Could you explain to me the setup of the Upward
10	Bound Classic program around and I'm going to give you
11	a time frame around August of 2002 when the proposed
12	move was to take place, the second proposed move?
13	MR. McMACKIN: Objection as vague.
14	To the extent you can answer, you can
15	answer.
16	A. When you say "setup," what are you asking me?
17	MR. McMACKIN: The reason why I'm
18	objecting, just so you know, is the setup, the hierarchy
19	or the setup, the outlay of the room what do you mean
20	by "setup"? That was the reason for my objection and
21	that's what causing the witness' confusion, as well.
22	MS. BREWINGTON: Okay. I apologize.

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

23

24

Q. The layout of the room.



Α.	Layo	ut individ	lual	offic	ces	- (or i	ndividu	ıal	space
separated	i bv	cubicles.	I	don't	recai	1 1	how	many.	hut	_

- Q. So Upward Bound Classic had individual spaces separated by cubicles; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

23

- Q. Tell me about Talent Search and their setup as far as their layout.
- A. Similar. I mean, the configuration is different because -- whereas one is oblong, the other one is square.
- Q. But both individual setups for the student enrichment coordinators along with cubicles; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Then when we have the Upward Bound Math and Science group, tell me about their setup in their new office area.
 - A. They were set up without cubicles.
 - Q. Anything else?
 - A. I'm not sure what that question means.
- Q. What else can you recall about their setup as far as their layout?
 - A. As far as where the furniture was positioned?
 - Q. As far as anything that you can recall.



1	A. I don't recall anything other than receiving a
2	call from administrative services saying that they were
3	very frustrated that they received no cooperation in
4	putting the furniture in the room. That's the only thing
5	I can recall.
6	Q. Who was very frustrated?
7	A. Whoever the movers were that day.
8	Q. They were frustrated because of what?
9	A. They were attempting to put the furniture in the
10	room and they weren't getting assistance in where to put
11	which pieces.
12	Q. Who told you this?
13	A. Eddie Cunningham.
14	Q. Let me follow up with that.
15	Were Ken Cole and Brigitte Brown
16	responsible for arranging the furniture in that room?
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. Is it fair to say that the Upward Bound Math and
19	Science new office space provides little to no privacy?
20	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Argumentative.
21	A. I don't know which I'm not sure what your
22	line of questioning is.
I	\cdot

24

Q.

into room 408; correct?

Upward Bound Math and Science eventually moved

70	m 1	
Α.	That's	correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. We talked about the office space and we mentioned that Talent Search had cubicles and partitions. Upward Bound Classic had cubicles and partitions. when I asked you about the layout of Upward Bound Math and Science, you indicated there were no cubicles and no partitions; is that correct?
 - Α. That's correct.
- So my question to you is: Is it fair to say 0. that Upward Bound Math and Science, their new offices, provided little to no privacy?

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Argumentative.

- Α. And I'm not an expert there. I can't answer that.
- Q. Based on your personal knowledge, would it be fair to say that Upward Bound Math and Science's new office provided little to no privacy?

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: Do I answer that?

- 0. He can object, but you are to answer the Yes. questions unless he instructs you not to answer the question.
 - Α. Okay. I got you.

In my personal opinion? The other



1	coordinators that have similar space have partitions.
2	How much privacy that affords them, I don't know.
3	BY MS. BREWINGTON:
4	Q. So
5	A. If your question is saying does a partition
6	equate to privacy? I would have no idea.
7	Q. Well, do you feel that the Upward Bound Math and
8	Science program, the student enrichment coordinators in
9	that program have less privacy than the others, than the
10	other programs because of their partitions?
11	A. I don't feel one way or the other.
12	Q. When students come in to visit these offices and
13	there are partitions there, can you necessarily see who
14	comes into each individual office with these partitions?
15	MR. McMACKIN: Objection to foundation.
16	A. I would have no idea.
17	Q. You said you would have no idea; right?
18	A. Mm-hmm.
19	Q. Why would you have no idea?
20	A. Because I'm not a student enrichment
21	coordinator.
22	Q. You would have no idea because you are not a
23	student enrichment coordinator?

Did you see the office space of the Talent

Search	program	SECs	and	the	Upward	Bound	Classic	SECs?

A. Yes.

- Q. So based on what you saw, is it fair to say that when a student comes in and meets with them, that other student enrichment coordinators wouldn't necessarily see them in that area?
- $$\operatorname{MR.}$ McMACKIN: Objection to foundation. Objection to form.

You can answer to the extent you can.

- A. I'm not following the line of questioning. Are you saying that by not being able to see someone, that means that that's private?
- Q. We moved away from the privacy and the confidential communication.

Now I'm just simply asking you: If there's a partition there, isn't it fair to say that when that student comes into that cubicle and that office, they would not necessarily be seen by the other student enrichment coordinators in that area?

MR. McMACKIN: Objection to foundation.

- A. Again, I'm not sure if -- when you walk --
- Q. I'll move on.
- A. If the student --
- Q. I'll move on. I'll move on. You seem to be too

confused. I'll move on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I'm not confused. It's the question I've been asked.
 - Q. Okay. That's fine.

Did you meet with Ken Cole and Brigitte
Brown and the other members of Upward Bound Math and
Science program along with Paul Morris in August 2002?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What do you remember about that meeting?
- 10 A. I remember that --
- 11 Q. First can I stop?

What do you remember about that meeting in terms of what was said by Ken Cole and Brigitte Brown. I want to be a little more specific with you.

- A. Okay. There was a written document that one of the members of Upward Bound Math and Science presented.

 I'm not exactly sure who it was. But it pretty much identified what their reasons were for not wanting to relocate.
 - Q. What were some of their reasons?
- A. That it would impact their productivity, for one, their morale, and their relations.
- Q. Going back to: What do you recall them saying at that meeting?



	Α.	T)	nat	they	felt	as	th	oug	gh	those	thre	е	areas	wou	ıld
be	imp	acte	dif	they	y were	e pı	ıt	in	a	differ	cent	10	cation	. th	ıan
whe	ere	they	cur	rentl	ly wer	îe.									

- Q. Did either person say that they felt that they were being treated unfairly?
- A. I don't recall if those were the words that were exactly said. I do recall that there was an attempt to bring up issues related to personnel in another program, at which point it was made known that I was not at liberty or no one in that room was at liberty to discuss personnel issues related to another program.
- Q. When you say "personnel," do you mean Tonia Conley and Kate Sullivan?
 - A. Yes.

.21

- Q. Did you investigate whether the issues between Tonia Conley and Kate Sullivan may have had anything to do with the proposed move?
- A. I had been working coaching with Tonia and Kate over time, so it had absolutely nothing to do with the proposed move.
- Q. Did either person -- and when I say "person," I mean Brigitte Brown or Ken Cole -- say that they felt they were being treated unequally?
 - A. I believe they said that they were -- they felt



impacted by Upward Bound Classic,	and by "Upward Bound
Classic," that refers to Kate and	Tonia. That they felt
their move was being impacted by,	again, personnel in
another program.	

- Q. Did either Ken Cole or Brigitte Brown tell you that the small space would make it difficult to protect students' privacy, which was necessary?
- A. I don't recall, but there is a written statement that they provided somewhere in that -- somewhere in the documents.
- Q. Going back, you mentioned that they expressed to you concerns about this move having an impact on productivity and morale and relations; correct?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. How did you respond to their concerns about the impact on productivity?
- A. I believe I responded that physical location should not interfere with an employee's ability to be productive.
- Q. How did you respond to their concerns about employee morale?
 - A. Similar. That location should not impact morale.
 - Q. How did you respond to their concerns about



emplovee	relations?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. Again, that location should not have an impact on relations.
 - Q. Did you say anything else in that meeting?
- A. That I was going to recommend to Dr. Zawislak that the move go forward.
 - Q. Did Paul Morris say anything in that meeting?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Why did you decide to go forward with the move or to recommend to go forward with the move despite

 Upward Bound Math and Science's concerns?
- MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Compound.
- 13 Objection.
 - A. I decided to go forward with the recommendation for the program to move because I did not believe there was anything of substance produced at that meeting as to why I would recommend otherwise.
 - Q. You eventually met with the Upward Bound Math and Science group again in September 2002 with
- 20 Dr. Zawislak; correct?
 - A. I don't recall the date, but, yes, we did meet.
- Q. You met with Ken Cole, Brigitte Brown, and Liz
 Wilson separately; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.



1	Q.	Why did you meet with them separately rather
2	than as	a group?
3	Α.	That was a question for Dr. Zawislak, not for
4	me.	
5	Q.	Why do you believe that or why do you think that
6	she	
7		MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Calls for
8	speculat	ion.
9	Α.	I don't know.
10	Q.	You don't know?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	But it was her decision to meet with the group
13	individua	ally?
14	Α.	I don't know. It could have come from the
15	campus di	irector. She also, you know, has supervisors
16	that she	reports to. I don't know.
17	Q.	Who did you meet with first?
18	Α.	I don't recall.
19	Q.	I'll represent to you that you met with Brigitte
20	Brown on	September 5th, 2002. Okay?
21	Α.	Okay.
22	Q.	What do you recall Brigitte Brown saying at that
23	meeting?	
24	Α.	That space I can't quote. I know in general

she was	very co	oncerned	with	her	losing	spa	ce.	She	didr	ı't
feel as	though	her furr	niture	was	going	to	fit.	Jus	t th	ıat
space wa	as very	importar	nt to	her.						

Q. How did you respond?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Specific? I don't remember, but the move -- I believe we said the move would go forward. The fact that space is important as a matter of being productive, it wasn't a justifiable reason to suggest that the person stays where they are.
- Q. You met with Ken Cole the next day; correct?

 Let me go back to Brigitte Brown's meeting.

Do you recall what Dr. Zawislak said?

- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Do you remember anything else about that meeting with Brigitte Brown?
- A. Other than she was highly emotional and stood on her position that her space was important to her.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And her equipment, her related equipment.
- Q. If I could go back, I forgot to touch upon something.

At some point after the meeting that you had with Paul Morris and the rest of the Upward Bound Math and Science group, do you recall maintenance men

1	measuring	the	offices	of	Upward	Bound	Math	and	Science
2	group?								

- A. I don't know when that space was measured. I do know that it was measured and it was measured by, I believe, first Mr. Cole and then secondly by administrative services.
- Q. Were you present when that space was being measured?
 - A. By who?

- Q. Excuse me?
- MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Vague. You said it was measured by Mr. Cole and it was measured by administrative services. Are you asking her --
- Q. Were you present at any time during any measuring of any offices?
- A. When administrative services initially measured, no, but then someone made another call to administrative services to come up and measure it yet again.
 - Q. Who made that call?
- A. I don't know. I received a phone call from administrative services asking me to stop having that space measured so many times.
- Q. So you were present when administrative services came to measure the second time?



1		
	71	Commont
	Α.	Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. Why were you present?
- A. Because I received a phone call from administrative services wondering why I was asking for the space to be measured again.
 - Q. Did they ask --
- A. Plus I believe this time it included some furniture, too.
 - Q. Did they ask you to come down?
 - A. No. I voluntarily went around.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And explained to whoever it was, and I don't recall who from administrative services was there at that time, but I told them it wasn't necessary.
 - Q. It wasn't necessary to measure it again?
 - A. Not again, no. They had already provided the dimensions of the rooms.
 - Q. Did you begin measuring the office space yourself?
 - A. Not the space. The desk, I believe it was.
- Q. So you began measuring the desk. Why did you measure the desk?
 - A. Because there was a concern that the desk was not going to fit into 408.



1	Q. Why didn't you allow administrative services to
2	measure the desk?
3	A. Again, I received a phone call saying that they
4	have already been up there and measured the space. They
5	provided the measurements. Why would they be asked to
6	come up and do it again?
7	Q. But they were there measuring the office space
8	when you got there?
9	A. They were there. What they were measuring, I
10	don't know.
11	Q. Were they measuring at all?
12	A. I don't remember. I know they were there. That
13	much I can tell you.
14	Q. I'm sorry I had to go back.
15	But moving forward, you met with Brigitte
16	Brown individually and we discussed that. I asked you
17	what Dr. Zawislak said and you couldn't recall; correct?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Am I correct in stating that once you finished
20	meeting with Brigitte Brown, you told her at that time
21	that the move would still go forward?
22	A. Do I know that for certain?
23	Q. Well, you mentioned it before and I just want to



make sure --

1	A.	I	don't	know	if	that	was	said	exactly.	Ι	don't
2	recall.										

- Do you recall whether you felt that the move Q. would go forward after that meeting?
 - My personal opinion? Α.
 - Q. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: Again, am I permitted to say my personal opinion?

MR. McMACKIN: Yes.

- Based on what was conveyed by Brigitte Brown, I saw no new information that hadn't already been shared as far as the need for space and furniture and peripheral equipment.
- 14 BY MS. BREWINGTON:
- 15 Q. So is it fair to say that after this meeting 16 with Brigitte Brown, you felt that the move should still go forward?
 - I felt so. Α.
 - Do you know whether Dr. Zawislak wanted the move Q. to go forward at that point?
 - A. I don't know. I don't know.
 - You're not certain as to whether you told Q. Brigitte Brown that the move would go forward at that point?



	1 '	
1		MR. McMACKIN: Objection to form.
2	A.	No, I'm not.
3	Q.	You met with Ken Cole the next day?
4	A.	I don't recall the date.
5	Q.	What did he say to you about the move?
6		MR. McMACKIN: Objection to form.
7	Α.	Specifically? I don't know.
8	Q.	Generally, what you can recall.
9	A.	I know that he wasn't happy to move. He liked
10	his offi	ce, as well. I do know that there's notes of the
11	meeting	somewhere in the packet that would be helpful,
12	but I do:	n't I don't recall the specifics of the
13	meeting.	
14	Q.	Did Ken Cole or Brigitte Brown discuss
15	alternat	ives to the move?
16	A.	Yes, they did.
17	Q.	Did they show you diagrams?
18	Α.	Yes, they did.
19	Q.	Did you review those diagrams at all?
20	Α.	Yes, we did.
21	Q.	When?
22	Α.	I believe while they were there, and they were
23	reviewed	again at some point. I don't know exactly what
24	point.	



	· ·
1	Q. Who were they reviewed by at some point?
2	A. I reviewed them. Dr. Zawislak reviewed them.
3	Q. Did you review them together or separately?
4	A. Separately, but then dialogued about them.
5	Q. So you dialogued about these alternatives?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What was your opinion about these alternatives?
8	A. That the initial recommendation was the best $^{\prime}$
9	recollection because it clustered a program of four
10	together as we did with Educational Talent Search, which
11	was leading us to our to succeed in our operational
12	plan, the goals set forth in that.
13	MS. BREWINGTON: Mark that.
14	MR. McMACKIN: We are going to object to
15	this document for the same reasons as we objected to what
16	appears to be the same document during the Paul Morris
17	and the Ann Zawislak depositions from Friday, the 27th.
18	But you can mark it.
19	(Del Negro Exhibit 1 was marked for
20	identification.)
2,1	BY MS. BREWINGTON:
22	Q. Could you please take an opportunity to review
23	alternative 1 and alternative 2?



Α.

This is 1?

1	Q. No. It actually says it on the top. I think
2	it's the third page.
3	A. (The witness reviews the document.) Can I ask
4	for clarification?
5	Q. Sure.
6	A. Is that area labeled "computer room" the tutor
7	room?
8	Q. I believe so.
9	A. And the area to the right that's labeled
10	"administrative offices," is that administrative
11	services?
12	Q. Yes, it is.
13	A. Okay. I've looked at it.
14	Q. Take a look at alternative 2 for me.
15	A. (The witness reviews the document.)
16	Q. Does this document look familiar?
17	A. I know that a color-coded plan was submitted.
18	Whether this was the exact one, I don't recall.
19	Q. But you reviewed a color coded
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. My question to you is: Why wasn't this
22	alternative used?
23	A. Because it didn't
24	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Vague.

Ο.	V ~ 17	$\alpha \circ n$	answer.
U.	101	Can	answer.

- Okay. Because it didn't accomplish the goal of Α. clustering an entire program in one location.
- Q. Is it true that all the student enrichment coordinators and the secretary would be together?
 - Α. For --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I'm sorry. All the Upward Bound Math and Science student enrichment coordinators and the secretary, Liz Wilson, would be together with adequate space?
 - . Under which alternative? Α.
 - 0. Alternative 2.

MR. McMACKIN: Objection to the extent that the question is argumentative.

- Again, it does not cluster the entire program in Α. an area for themselves.
- But my question to you was: Would the Upward Bound Math and Science student enrichment coordinators and the secretary be together in one area?
 - Α. If that's what these four blue blocks represent.
- Q. We are looking at Upward Bound Math and Science, which is the yellow.
 - Α. Oh, oh. Over here?
 - Q. Yes.



1		So my question, again, is: Would
2	alternat	tive 2 allow for the Upward Bound Math and Science
3	student	enrichment coordinators and their secretary to be
4	together	with adequate space?
5		MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Argumentative.
6	Α.	From the document, yes.
7	Q.	I asked you why wasn't this alternative used;
8	correct?	
9	Α.	Yes, and I responded.
10	Q.	Your response was, as I understand it, because
11	it would	n't allow for all the members of Upward Bound
.12	1	Science to be together; is that correct?
13	A.	Yes, similar to what we did with Educational
14	Talent S	
15	Q.	How about Upward Bound Classic? My question to
16	you is:	Is it true that the Upward Bound Classic student
17		nt coordinators and secretaries are together in
18	that are	
19	A.	That's correct.
20	Q.	And their program manager is not with them?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	Q.	Were they moved as a result of this proposed
23	move?	
24	Α.	They could not be moved into 408 because there



1	were	too	many	οf	them.

- Q. I asked you before how many there were.
- A. I'm looking right here.
 - Q. So it's four of them?
 - A. And the program manager.
- Q. Did Upward Bound Math and Science have student co-ops or work study individuals?
 - A. I don't know. I never approved any.
 - Q. So you don't know whether they were there?
- 10 A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

23

24

- 11 Q. Would you be the person to have to approve them
 12 if they were there?
- A. No. I'm not -- I honestly don't know how that works.

When you're saying "co-op," are you referring to work studies?

- Q. Yes.
- A. Okay. No.
- Q. No, you don't know or --
- A. No, I don't know if they had them, and I don't know the process. I just know that I was never involved in a process of anyone having work studies.
 - Q. So that would also include Upward Bound Classic? You're not aware of them having work studies?



1	A. No, nor Educational Talent Search.
2	Q. Take a look for me at alternative 1. Have you
3	had an opportunity to review that?
4	A. No. Let me just
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. (The witness reviews the document.) Okay.
7	Q. Why wasn't this alternative used?
8	A. Which was to switch only Tonia and Liz? Again,
9	it's the same answer I gave you before. It still didn't
10	help us reach our goal of clustering the entire program
11	grouping together as we did with Educational Talent
12	Search.
13	Q. Is it fair to say that the program manager, the
14	secretary, and both student enrichment coordinators would
15	all be in the same area?
16	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Vague.
17	A. These along the wall here?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. Would they be in the same area?
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. They would be in the same area according to the
22	chart, yes.
23	Q. Wouldn't this be more cost-effective than the



move that actually took place?

1	A.	Can	you	
---	----	-----	-----	--

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Argumentative.

- A. And I don't know what you mean by "cost effective."
- Q. Is it fair to say that moving six or seven people is more cost effective than moving two?
 - A. I don't have a budget for moving.

MR. McMACKIN: Objection to form.

- Q. What was your answer?
- A. I don't have a cost sheet for the expense of moving individuals, so I don't have an answer.
- Q. You don't have an answer because you don't know whether moving two people would be least cost-effective than moving seven?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did Ken Cole or Brigitte Brown give you measurement of their office spaces compared with measurements of the proposed?
- A. Yes, and I believe they are in the documents that you supplied.
- Q. Did Dr. Zawislak tell Ken Cole or Brigitte Brown that the reason for the move was due to downsizing?
- A. I can't speak for Dr. Zawislak. I don't know what she told them.



1	Q.	So you	don't	recall?	You	don't	remember	her
2	saying	anything						

- A. I don't know what she told them. I'm not with Dr. Zawislak.
- Q. Here's my thing: I'm talking about the meeting that you had with Ken Cole individually, and also the meeting that you had with Brigitte Brown. You were there with Dr. Zawislak, were you not?
 - A. Oh, yes.

- Q. My question is: Do you recall Dr. Zawislak telling Ken Cole or Brigitte Brown that the reason for the move was due to downsizing?
- A. Okay. I don't remember specifically if she said that at a meeting individually or if it was in a collective meeting.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But, yes, because To The Max or the S.O.A.R. or whatever it was called at the time had lost a position.
- Q. Did she say that the move was because of an allocation of space?
- A. Again, I don't know what you mean by terms of "allocation of space."
 - MR. McMACKIN: Objection to form.
 - A. Other than clustering a grouping of one entire



program together as we did with Educational Talent Search.

Q. At the end of either of those meetings, do you recall yourself or Dr. Zawislak telling Brigitte Brown or Ken Cole that the move would still be going forward because there was nothing said to convince them, convince you that the move should not go forward?

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Compound.

A. I don't recall that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Are you aware that Dr. Zawislak sent out a newsletter in August 2002 that indicated that Paul Morris received a promotion to special programs director?
 - A. The CCP newsletter? Yes.
 - Q. How often is the CCP newsletter sent out?
 - A. Now or at that time?
 - Q. At that time.
- A. I believe she was attempting to keep it up on a monthly basis.
 - Q. On a monthly basis.

Now, this promotion to special programs director that Dr. Zawislak sent out in the newsletter, were you aware that this position was not posted?

- A. There was no promotion.
- Q. But are you aware that this position was not



1	posted?
2	MR. McMACKIN: Objection to foundation.
3	A. There was no position at that time to be posted.
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. Because there was no promotion.
6	Q. But yet she sent out a letter indicating that
7	there was a promotion; correct?
8	A. It was an error on words, or word.
9	Q. Whose decision was it not to post this position?
10	MR. McMACKIN: Same objection.
11	A. There was no position.
12	Q. The fact that Paul Morris received a change in
13	position to special programs director without it being
14	posted, was that a violation of DelTech's policy?
15	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Foundation.
16	A. Again, there was no position of special projects
17	director. Paul Morris was reclassified.
18	Q. Tell me about reclassification.
19	A. It's an HR process.
20	Q. Tell me more.
21	A. That it's an annual annual I can't
22	think of another word other than "event." It's an annual
23	process where once a year where there is a change of
24	duties and employees can submit paperwork.

	Word	17011	involved	in	hic	reclassification?
ν.	were	you	Involved	± 11	$_{\rm HIS}$	reclassification?

- A. Involved in it? No. It's reviewed by HR.
- Q. So Dr. Zawislak signed off on his reclassification. Did you have any part in signing off

5 on that?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

16

19

22

23

24

- A. I may have. I would hope I have because I could explain the change of duties.
 - Q. So Paul Morris reported to you at that time?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So do you know whether you signed off or you don't recall?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall ever seeing any forms related to reclassification of Paul Morris?
- 15 A. Can I ask for a clarification?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Are you talking about while he was filling out the forms or --
 - Q. Yes. Did you ever --
- A. -- or information we received back from the president's office?
 - Q. What I'm talking about is anything. I'm talking in the broader sense.

Do you recall receiving or filling out or



1 completing or authorizing any forms dealing with Paul 2 Morris and his reclassification?

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Compound.

- A. I don't know. I do remember supporting him. What I signed or didn't sign, I don't recall. I don't know whether it was at my level the signature process would take place or at the director.
- Q. This classification was later rescinded by Mr. Lawrence Miller in October 2002?
- A. Yes.

MR. McMACKIN: Objection to form.

Q. Why is that?

THE WITNESS: Respond to that?

MR. McMACKIN: Yes. Unless I instruct you not to answer, you should, yes, to the extent that you can.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

A. Because we were told that individuals cannot be reclassified if they were receiving compensation for the extra work they took on or if they weren't able to accomplish either their current or -- yes, their current or their additional work duties within the 37 and a half hour workweek.



BY MS. BREWINGTON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Was Paul Morris able to accomplish his additional job duties within the 37 and a half hour workweek?
 - A. No. He was working beyond that.
 - Q. Did you approve his extra hours?
 - A. Dr. Zawislak would only have that authority.
- Q. With respect to job postings, who makes the decision whether a position will be posted?
- A. Who makes the decision? Well, first of all, a vacancy has to occur. And beyond that, that would be an HR question.
 - Q. So for all vacancies, are positions automatically posted?
 - A. For all vacancies, are -- I don't know. At my level it was not something that I dealt with. It would be an HR question.
 - Q. As I understand it, some positions, when there is a vacancy, there may be a posting or there may be someone that moves into that position as an acting --
 - A. Okay. Yes.
 - Q. Is that correct?
- A. Yes. I believe it has to be a full-time position.



1	Q. Okay. Who makes the decision whether the
2	position will be posted or whether someone will become
3	acting?
4	A. Okay. To the best of my knowledge, that final
5	decision has to come from the campus director.
6	Q. Does someone make a recommendation to the campus
7	director?
8	A. Yes.
9 .	Q. Who?
10	A. It would have to be whoever's at the highest
11	level of decision-making before you get to the campus
12	director.
13	Q. So, for example, the campus director is Lawrence
14	Miller; correct?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. Then am I correct that Sue Zawislak is the
17	highest person in community programs and then she would
18	make a recommendation to Mr. Miller as to whether the
1,9	position should be posted or someone should move into
20	acting?
21	A. That I can't I don't know exactly how that
22	works.



of whether a position should be posted or whether someone

23

24

Did you ever make any recommendations in terms

1 should move into an acting

A. No.

- Q. Are you aware of Dr. Zawislak making any recommendations to Mr. Miller concerning whether a position should be posted or whether someone should move into the acting position?
 - A. Specific? No. I may find out after the fact.
- Q. Okay.
- A. I'm not part of that decision-making, or I wasn't.
 - Q. With respect to all the trio programs -- and when I say "all the trio programs," I'm talking about Talent Search, Upward Bound Classic, and Upward Bound Math and Science.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Do these programs use blanket travel requests? Did they use blanket travel requests?
 - A. Could you define what you mean by "blanket travel request"?
 - Q. What is your understanding of a blanket travel request?
- A. My personal definition would be if there was a consistent weekly schedule with defined times and defined destinations.



1	Q. So your definition of "blanket travel request"
2	is consistent weekly schedules with defined times and
3	defined regulations; is that correct?
4	A. Destinations.
5	Q. Destinations. I'm sorry.
6	So did Upward Bound Classic have blanket
7	travel requests?
8	A. When again, when you say "blanket," it would
9	be with defined if for the purposes of their
0	program, defined schools and defined times.
1	Q. So is your answer yes or no?
2	A. I'm sorry. What was the question again?
3	Q. Did Upward Bound Classic have blanket travel
4	requests?
5	A. I believe they did. I can't say with
6	100 percent certainty if you would say that was a blanket
7	travel request.
8	Q. But they did?
9	A. They had defined times and destinations, yes,
0	and locations.
1	Q. Are you saying that because they had defined the
2	times and defined schools, they, in turn, had blanket
3	travel requests, or are you saying that's the same thing?
	- 104 July Charle beine bake Ching!

Α.

Okay. As far as the term "blanket travel

Page 74 of 92

requests," I'm not -- I'm not accustomed to using that word, so "blanket" -- "blanket" to me doesn't mean anything. A travel request I see come in two forms, and that is whether you're going -- typically a travel request is submitted. If I'm going to a meeting, I submit a travel request. I say where I'm going and what date I'm going, and typically it involved a state vehicle, so you know when you're taking it out and when you're returning it.

Going as a student enrichment coordinator to the schools, it's typically defined where I'm going, when I'm going to it. And again, the state vehicles are being used. You know what time the state vehicle is going to be checked out and what time it's going to be returned.

Q. Now, the Upward Bound Classic program that we just spoke of, is that how they ran their program as far as they would fill out travel requests and indicate where they're going and what date they would be going and that a state vehicle is being used?

MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Compound.

A. Anyone that travels off the campus is required to fill out a travel request form. So the answer, then, would be yes, it would include Upward Bound Classic.

Q. Okay. You are right.
How about Talent Search, is that the same
way?
A. Yes, very similar.
Q. How about Upward Bound Math and Science, how are
their travel requests?
A. How are they?
Q. I'm sorry. Yes. How were they?
A. Well, theirs is a little bit different from the
other two programs because the other two programs had
weekly standardized schedules, whereas Upward Bound Math
and Science did not.
Q. So how were their travel requests different as a
result?
A. They would submit them without specifics, again,
whereas the other two programs had consistent regular
schedules.
Q. So did
A. At the schools.
Q. Did the other programs complete their travel
requests with specifics?
A. I don't recall, but I know that there were
schedules, calendars, whatever, to support that, yes.

Q. There were calendars to support their travel



requests;	is	that	correct?
_ cqucuco,			

- A. Yes. Each coordinator has a calendar to say which schools they're in, which days.
 - Q. But --

- A. And what time.
- Q. Is it your testimony that you are not sure whether they complete the travel request with the details and specifics?
- A. Without seeing one in front of me, I don't recall.
- Q. So you know for sure or do you know for sure that Upward Bound Math and Science completed travel requests without specifics?
- A. Again, without seeing one in front of me, I can't -- what I was saying there is they didn't have the consistent standardized school visit calendars as the other programs did.
 - Q. And --
- A. And without seeing any travel requests for any of the programs, I couldn't remember how they read.
- Q. Was there a problem with Upward Bound Math and Science travel requests?
- A. There is a problem with the management of the Upward Bound Math and Science program.



Dr. Ann Del Negro

74

Q. "Management" meaning REDACTED

A. Correct.

1

2

4

6

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

MR. McMACKIN: Confidential, please.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 [CONFIDENTIAL ON.]

BY MS. BREWINGTON:

- Q. So my question to you was: Was there an issue or problem with --
 - A. The travel requests?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And the answer is --
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Tell me about that.
 - A. When I met with REDACTED on one of many occasions, it became apparent that she wasn't as informed as she should be from a management standpoint as to when the school visits would take place. And by that I mean when and where and who would be seen and what would be the nature of the call.
 - Q. What would be the nature of the call?
- 22 A. Call or visit to the school.
- 23 Q. Oh, okay.
 - A. I don't know if that answers your question, but

	the issue surrounding REDACTED	relate to the travel
	request forms insofar as she co	uldn't provide adequate
	information about related to	the travel request.
1		

If that helps any, I don't know.

Q. Well, let me ask you some questions.

Are you saying that REDACTED did not know where her student enrichment coordinators were?

- A. I believe she would just provide requests that said "fall visits."
- Q. Had they always provided requests that said "fall visits"?
- A. I don't know prior to when I got there. I'm not sure. I'm not exactly sure if they did when I first got there.
- Q. When did this become a concern of yours, the travel requests of the Upward Bound Math and Science?
- A. It wasn't with just the Upward Bound Math and Science. There was a directive that the campus director had handed down that was related to the use of fleet vehicles. And he expected all of his direct reports and their direct reports to be more conscientious of the use of fleet vehicles.
 - Q. That was sent down by Mr. Miller?
 - A. Yes.



1	Q. Do you remember when, approximately?
. 2	A. No, I do not.
3	Q. So you mentioned there were some concerns with
4	REDACTED and her inability to, as I understand it,
5	know where her staff is; is that correct?
6	A. Well, to be able to answer any questions about
7	the yearly work plan.
8	Q. What did you do as a result with respect to the
9	travel request?
10	A. Oh, what did we expected to have more
11	details.
12	Q. When you say "more details," what do you mean?
13	A. To know which schools were going to be visited,
14	when and when, and what time.
15	Q. How often did you want them to complete these
16	travel requests?
17	A. Exact what I said, I don't recall, but I needed
18	to know whenever there was going to be travel, that a
19	travel request was on file.
20	Q. So every time they were going to travel; is that
21	correct?
22	A. If there wasn't any other if there wasn't any
23	other thing on record, yes, because the way it was
24	submitted wasn't acceptable in light of the fact that we

were being asked to be more conscientious of when we were using vehicles.

Q. You mentioned the way it was submitted it wasn't acceptable.

Do you know how long it was submitted in that unacceptable way?

A. No, I do not.

- Q. Is it fair to say that it had been submitted that way since you were in that role as assistant director?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. When did you become aware of how it was submitted in terms of the fact that it wasn't acceptable?
- A. Specific dates, I don't know. I know that everything sort of started to float to the surface. The more interaction I had with REDACTED as the manager, we started with fiscal issues in the year 2000 and progressively went into the programmatic components. And working with her through the programmatic components, those are the kind of issues that floated to the surface.
- Q. When you met with REDACTED concerning travel requests and you instructed her to give more detail -- Is that correct? -- what was her response to you?



1	A. She would work with me to make sure that that
2	happened.
3	Q. Switching lanes, were you aware that Ken Cole's
4	doctor ordered reduced work hours around November of
5	2002?
6	A. I don't recall when, but I do recall that there
7	was some reduced work hours.
8	Q. Were you aware that he provided a doctor's note
9	that indicated he was not contagious and he should
10	titrate his return to full activity?
11	A. I believe all of that was handled through the
12	human resources department.
13	Q. Do you have any idea in terms of why this note
14	was not acceptable to DelTech?
15	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Foundation.
16	A. Again, that's an HR question, not a question for
17	me.
18	Q. Were you aware that Ken Cole was threatened with

- Q. Were you aware that Ken Cole was threatened with termination if he did not provide additional documentation?
 - A. I have no knowledge of that.
- Q. Isn't it true that you provided him the letter from HR indicating that exact same thing?
 - A. I don't recall that.

20

21

22

23



1		MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Foundation.
2	Q.	Did you present to Ken Cole any letters?
3	Α.	I don't recall.
4	Q.	Who is responsible for approving a change in an
5	employee	's work hours?
6	Α.	Campus director.
7	Q.	At that time it was Mr. Miller; right?
8	Α.	Correct.
9	· Q.	Does Dr. Zawislak have the authority to approve
10	work hou	rs?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	Do you have the authority to approve work hours?
13	A.	No. As I said, the campus director.
14	Q.	Okay. I just wanted clarification.
15		Are you aware that Brigitte Brown requested
16	to chang	e her hours to nine to five at some point?
17	A.	Not until I was looking over the documents.
18	Q.	Were you not there at that time?
19	Α.	No.
20	Q.	Were you not involved in that?
21	Α.	No. I believe that was something that was
22	decided	between her and her manager. At that time it was
23	REDACTED	•

Q.

You did not play a role in that in any way?

1	A. No, absolutely not.
2	Q. At some point you became director of corporate
3	and community programs at the Owens Campus; is that
4	correct?
5	A. That's correct.
6	Q. When was that?
7	A. It was in August of 2003.
8	Q. Who was promoted to your former position,
9	assistant director of CCP?
10	A. I don't exactly know how filling my vacancy, I
11	don't know how it was handled.
12	Q. So you don't know who took your position and who
13	took the person's position ·
14	A. I know that Jacquita Wright-Henderson is
15	currently the assistant director of corporate and
16	community programs.
17	Q. Which is your former position; right?
18	A. For the Stanton Wilmington campus, correct.
19	Q. Okay.
20	A. But what the processes were, I don't know.
21	Q. Do you know that Paul Morris was also promoted
22	at that time?
23	A. I don't know when he was promoted. I do



understand he is now a department chair, I believe.

1	Q. Yes.
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Were you aware that Upward Bound Math and
4	Science was not placed under Paul Morris' supervision
5	when he became department chair of community
6	MR. McMACKIN: Objection. Foundation.
7	A. Is this after I left the campus? No. I was no
8	longer associated with the campus.
9	MR. McMACKIN: Can we go off for a second?
10	(A recess was taken at this time.)
11	MS. BREWINGTON: I don't have anything
12	further.
13	MR. McMACKIN: I have no redirect.
14	I just wanted to reserve our right to read
15	and sign. That's it.
16	MS. BREWINGTON: Okay. Thank you.
17	(The deposition was then concluded at
18	12:05 p.m.)
19	~ · ·
20	
21	
22	
23	

		82	
1	INDEX TO TESTIMONY		
2			
3	DR. ANN DEL NEGRO		
4	DR. MIN DEL NEGRO	PAGE	
5	Examination by Ms. Brewington	2	
6			
7			
8	INDEX TO EXHIBITS		
9	DEL NECDO EVILDIO NO		
10	DEL NEGRO EXHIBIT NO.:	PAGE	
11	A four-page color copy of a document entitled		
12	"Present set Up on 4th Floor"	55	
13			
14			
15			
16			
1.7			
18	·		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
•			

REPLACE THIS PAGE WITH THE ERRATA SHEET AFTER IT HAS BEEN . 14 COMPLETED AND SIGNED BY THE DEPONENT.



ATTACH TO THE DEPOSITION OF:

Dr. Ann Del Negro

DATE TAKEN: January 20, 3006

IN THE MATTER OF: Cole and Brown v. Delaware Technical & Community College

ERRATA SHEET

INSTRUCTIONS: After reading the transcript of your deposition, please note any change or correction and the reason therefore on this sheet. **Do not make any marks or notations on the transcript itself.** Rule 30(e) governing this procedure is enclosed. Please sign and date this errata sheet and return it to our office at the address below. Thank you.\

Page	Line	Edits-Deletions represented by strikethroughs. Insertion represented by CAPS.
		Other remarks in <i>italics</i> .
5	9	One master's degree in HR MANAGEMENT FROM
	10	College IN HR MANAGEMENT. And a doctorATE of IN
	21	it was called at that time. That was my title at that time.
6	8	You'LL
7	8	Manager, both he – Urahn Roberts WHO
	10	Secretary, THEY ALL REPOTED TO ME.
	20	to ME, I believe, BY you.
8	12	I don't recall THOSE WORDS.
10	12	PDFs, SIGNED BY KEN COLE,
11	3	Opportunity to apply for a positions
13	7 & 8	I am not sure what this sentence means. What was meant is our goal was to grown
		personnel from the same program into the same area.
	19	Everything that we do DID
	20	recall THAT.
	23	I don't believe so, because OF
16	1	There were problems? Were there problems?
17	18	areas to try to promote A
18	1	accountability. ACCOUNTABLE.
	2	Accountability. SHE expectedSHE had high expectations. SHE
	8	Operated a lot more flexible that
	10	Followed that were not always acceptable as far AS,
	13	Resurfacing are WERE
	14	Calls from the schools
	18	we TONIA had planned to do and what we SHE
	21	Prepared the calendars that I would be at that school BUT THINGS CHANGED.
	22	And that to give you an ANOTHER example
19	1	that you were going to work off OF for the week, BUT THAT WAS not SO WITH
_		TONIA an at
	2	the time I prepared it TONIA'S EXPECTATION WAS MORE LIKE I she thought
		she was going to there (SCHOOL VISIT),
	3	not necessarily that I SHE was <u>really</u> going to go there (SCHOOL VISIT).

.

.

21	20	Would I diagrams with the U.S. I. I. W. I.
23	20	Would I disagree with that? I don't recall A RECOMMENDATION.
24	13	people THAT WERE NOT ALREADY CLUSTERED TOGETHER.
25	10	And the secretaries. SECRETARY.
27	19	of each of the programs together to promote the A teamwork
35	$\frac{19}{17}$	know she had SUPPORTED multiple responsibilities AREAS.
39	1/	totally. They were not like FULLY enclosed offices like this.
39		Layout individual offices — or individual space—PARTITIONS CREATED CUBICLES OR INDIVIDUAL SPACE
	2	separated by cubicles. I don't recall how many, but
	11-13	There was/is not "individual setups" along with "cubicles" for the student
		enrichment coordinators. It is partitions that create "cubicles" and "cubicles"
	14	inai creale inaividual space".
	14	My answer is correct when aligned with my interpretation of partitions and cubicles.
	18	They were set up without cubicles PARTITIONS.
41	3	Talent Search and Upward Bound Classic did not have "cubicles" and
		partitions." Partitions are what created the cubicles
	4	My answer is correct when aligned with my interpretation of partitions and
	ļ	cubicies.
55	9	recollection RECOMMENDATION
	17	and the Ann-Susan
59	3	I'm looking right here AT YOUR CHART.
64	23	Process where – once a year where WHEN there is a change of
	24	duties, and THE employees can submit paperwork FOR A POSITION REVIEW
65	6	I may have. I would hope I have HAD because I could HAVE
	7	explain EXPLAINED the change of duties.
66	7	would take place or at the director DIRECTOR'S LEVEL
71	3-5	The sentence that begins withA travel request I see come in two forms makes no
		sense. I am not really sure what I said here.
7.0	7	Date I'm going, and typically is involved INVOLVES
76	25	when WHERE and when, and what time.
	17	Exact-EXACTLY
77	2	and since Rose couldn't adequately respond to questions about her staff members
		schedules.
	19	My "No" response was related to not being there when Brigitte allegedly asked to
-		change her work hours.
80	10	I don't exactly know how filling my vacancy OCCURRED, I

I have read the foregoing transcript of my deposition, and expect for any corrections or changes noted above, I hereby subscribe to the transcript as an accurate record of the statements made by me.

DATED: 3/17/04 Am L Aufleyw (Signature of Deponent)

RETURN TO: WILCOX AND FETZER, LTD. 1330 King Street

Wilmington DE 19801

```
1
     State of Delaware )
 2
 3
     New Castle County )
 4
 5
                      CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
 6
 7
                   I, Kathleen White Palmer, Registered Merit
     Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that there
     came before me on the 30th day of January, 2006, the
 8
     deponent herein, DR. ANN DEL NEGRO, who was duly sworn by
     me and thereafter examined by counsel for the respective
 9
     parties; that the questions asked of said deponent and
     the answers given were taken down by me in Stenotype
10
     notes and thereafter transcribed into typewriting under
11
     my direction.
12
                   I further certify that the foregoing is a
     true and correct transcript of the testimony given at
     said examination of said witness.
13
14
                   I further certify that I am not counsel,
     attorney, or relative of either party, or otherwise
     interested in the event of this suit.
15
16
17
18
19
                           Kathleen White Palmer, RPR, RMR
                           Certification No. 149-RPR
20
                           (Expires January 31, 2008)
2.1
    DATED:
             February 3, 2006
22
23
24
```

